CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2011 First Round June 22, 2011

Project Number CA-2011-031

Project Name New Harmony

Site Address: SW Corner of Cowell Blvd and Drummond Ave

Davis, CA 98618 County: Yolo

Census Tract: 106.080

Tax Credit Amounts Federal/Annual State/Total

Requested: \$840,755 \$0 Recommended: \$840,755 \$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Sacramento Mutual Housing Association

Contact: Rachel Iskow

Address: 8001 Fruitridge Rd. Suite A

Sacramento, CA 95820

Phone: (916) 453-8400 Fax: (916) 453-8401

Email: rachel@mutualhousing.com

General partner(s) or principal owner(s): New Harmony LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Developer: Sacramento Mutual Housing Association
Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Corporation

Management Agent: Jon Berkley Management, Inc.

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 2 Total # of Units: 69

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 68 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: HOME

Affordability Breakdown by % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 10 % 35% AMI: 25 % 50% AMI: 30 %

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: Capital and Northern Region

TCAC Project Analyst: Gina Ferguson

Unit Mix

9 1-Bedroom Units

33 2-Bedroom Units

27 3-Bedroom Units

69 Total Units

Unit Type & Number	2010 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2010 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
4 2 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$489
3 3 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$565
3 1 Bedroom	35%	35%	\$476
9 2 Bedrooms	35%	35%	\$571
6 3 Bedrooms	35%	35%	\$659
3 1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$680
10 2 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$816
8 3 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$942
3 1 Bedroom	60%	60%	\$816
10 2 Bedrooms	60%	60%	\$979
9 3 Bedrooms	60%	60%	\$1,131
1 3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

Project Financing

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$20,201,963 **Residential**

Construction Cost Per Square Foot: \$156 Per Unit Cost: \$292,782

Construction Financing Permanent Financing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Bank of America	\$7,236,256	CCRC	\$2,178,000
City of Davis - RDA	\$6,923,090	City of Davis - RDA	\$6,923,090
City of Davis - HOME	\$250,000	City of Davis - HOME	\$250,000
City of Davis - HOME 2	\$1,511,301	City of Davis - HOME 2	\$1,511,301
City of Davis RDA - Land Loan	\$900,000	City of Davis RDA - Land Loan	\$900,000
City of Davis - Land Donation	\$330,000	City of Davis - Land Donation	\$330,000
AHP	\$680,000	AHP	\$680,000
Deferred Costs	\$793,402	Deferred Developer Fee	\$210,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$210,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$7,219,572
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,367,914	TOTAL	\$20,201,963

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis: \$7,185,943 130% High Cost Adjustment: Yes 100.00% Applicable Fraction: Qualified Basis: \$9,341,726 Applicable Rate: 9.00% Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: \$840,755 Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): \$1,400,000 Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Corporation Federal Tax Credit Factor: \$0.85870

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$7,185,943 Actual Eligible Basis: \$18,202,461 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$13,923,894 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$18,101,062

Adjustments to Basis Limit:

Required to Pay Prevailing Wages 95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family Second: 73.698%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 9.00% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.40%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None

Legal Status: Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency:

The Local Reviewing Agency, the City of Davis, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$840.755 State Tax Credits/Total \$0

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness 180-Day Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None

Points System	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
1 omts System	Points	Points	Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within 500 ft of regular bus stop (or dial-a-ride service for rural set-aside)	4	4	4
Within 1/4 mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within 1.5 miles of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	3	3	3
Large Family proj. w/i ½ mile of public school project children may attend	2	2	2
Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital	2	2	2
In-unit high speed internet service	2	2	2
Service Amenities	10	10	10
LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES			
Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms	5	5	5
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, 60 hrs/yr instruction	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: GreenPoint Rated	5	5	5
Develop project to requirements of: GreenPoint Rated Multifamily 125	5	5	5
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	148	148	148

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.